

2013

Quinta-Gamelin Community Center: Architectural Design

Caileigh Bailley-Ricci

Joseph Cardella

Nicholas Musilli

Thib Alqahtani

Rebecca Rokicki

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://docs.rwu.edu/cpc_aud



Part of the [Urban, Community and Regional Planning Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bailley-Ricci, Caileigh; Cardella, Joseph; Musilli, Nicholas; Alqahtani, Thib; Rokicki, Rebecca; Vales, David; Waisnor, Jason; Eckel, Matt; Lucia, Ron; Lane, Ben; Mourad, Amged; Altrukuni, Waleed; Liu, Xiqiao; Woods, Charles; Flattery, Patrick; Papadopoulos, Antonia; Post, Kenneth; Reccoppa, Patricia; Bombara, Lauren; Lopez, Ruddy; Pulver, Steven; Fasciglione, Lisa; Pranaitis, Tim; Kohnke, James; Hollendonner, Christian; Johnson, Shawn; Hernandez, Pedro; Schutez, Nathaniel; Gaughan, John; Ames, Oliver; Karambelas, Colby; Moskal, Jaret; Silva, Matthew; Cassciotta, Anthony; Laurencelle, Michael; DaSilva, Brandon; Graham, Gary; Robinson, Arnold; and Celik, Gokhan, "Quinta-Gamelin Community Center: Architectural Design" (2013). *Architecture and Urban Design*. 6.
https://docs.rwu.edu/cpc_aud/6

This Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Community Partnerships Center at DOCS@RWU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Architecture and Urban Design by an authorized administrator of DOCS@RWU. For more information, please contact mwu@rwu.edu.

Authors

Caileigh Bailey-Ricci, Joseph Cardella, Nicholas Musilli, Thib Alqahtani, Rebecca Rokicki, David Vales, Jason Waisnor, Matt Eckel, Ron Lucia, Ben Lane, Amged Mourad, Waleed Altrukuni, Xiqiao Liu, Charles Woods, Patrick Flattery, Antonia Papadopoulos, Kenneth Post, Patricia Reccoppa, Lauren Bombara, Ruddy Lopez, Steven Pulver, Lisa Fasciglione, Tim Pranaitis, James Kohnke, Christian Hollendonner, Shawn Johnson, Pedro Hernandez, Nathaniel Schutez, John Gaughan, Oliver Ames, Colby Karambelas, Jaret Moskal, Matthew Silva, Anthony Cassciotta, Michael Laurencelle, Brandon DaSilva, Gary Graham, Arnold Robinson, and Gokhan Celik



Quinta-Gamelin Community Center

Community Partner:
Town of Bristol, Rhode Island

Academic Partners:
School of Architecture, Art
and Historic Preservation
School of Engineering, Computing
and Construction Management

Spring 2013

The Roger Williams University Community Partnerships Center

The Roger Williams University (RWU) Community Partnerships Center (CPC) provides project-based assistance to non-profit organizations, government agencies and low- and moderate-income communities in Rhode Island and Southeastern Massachusetts. Our mission is to undertake and complete projects that will benefit the local community while providing RWU students with experience in real-world projects that deepen their academic experiences.

CPC projects draw upon the skills and experience of students and faculty from RWU programs in areas such as:

- American Studies
- Architecture and Urban Design
- Business
- Community Development
- Education
- Engineering and Construction Management
- Environmental Science and Sustainability
- Finance

- Graphic Design
- Historic Preservation
- History
- Justice Studies
- Law
- Marketing and Communications
- Political Science
- Psychology
- Public Administration
- Public Relations
- Sustainable Studies
- Visual Arts and Digital Media
- Writing Studies

Community partnerships broaden and deepen the academic experiences of RWU students by allowing them to work on real-world projects, through curriculum-based and service-learning opportunities collaborating with non-profit and community leaders as they seek to achieve their missions. The services provided by the CPC would normally not be available to these organizations due to their cost and/or diverse needs.

CPC Project Disclaimer: The reader shall understand the following in regards to this project report:

1. The Project is being undertaken in the public interest.
2. The deliverables generated hereunder are intended to provide conceptual information only to assist design and planning and such are not intended, nor should they be used, for construction or other project implementation. Furthermore, professional and/or other services may be needed to ultimately implement the desired goals of the public in ownership of the project served.
3. The parties understand, agree and acknowledge that the deliverables being provided hereunder are being performed by students who are not licensed and/or otherwise certified as professionals. Neither RWU nor the CPC makes any warranties or guarantees ex-

pressed or implied, regarding the deliverables provided pursuant to this Agreement and the quality thereof, and Sponsor should not rely on the assistance as constituting professional advice. RWU, the CPC, the faculty mentor, and the students involved are not covered by professional liability insurance.

4. Neither RWU, the CPC, the faculty mentor, nor the students involved assume responsibility or liability for the deliverables provided hereunder or for any subsequent use by sponsor or other party and Sponsor agrees to indemnify and hold harmless RWU, the Center, the Faculty Mentor, and the Center's student against any and all claims arising out of Sponsor's utilization, sale, or transfer of deliverables provided under this Agreement.

Community Partnerships Center
Roger Williams University
One Old Ferry Road
Bristol, RI 02809
cpc@rwu.edu
<http://cpc.rwu.edu>

Introduction

In the 1990s, the YMCA in the town of Bristol closed, leaving local residents without a wellness center to attend. In 2006, the Department of Defense closed the Quinta-Gamelin Army Reserve building in Colt State Park in Bristol. In 2013, the Department of Defense officially turned the facility over to the town of Bristol Parks and Recreation Department with the understanding that it will always be used as a community center and sponsored by a federal agency — the National Park Service.

In the spring of 2013, students from the School of Architecture, Art and Historic Preservation enrolled in ARCH 488 - Computer Applications for Professional Practice, taught by Professor Gary Graham. Graham used the Quinta-Gamelin Community Center as a model to explore new Professional Practice methods, specifically the *Integrated Project Delivery (IPD)* and the meaning and methods of *Building Information Modeling (BIM)* as it pertains to design and decision-making in today's contemporary architecture practice.

Students also worked collaboratively with other Roger Williams University students enrolled in Professor Gokhan Celik's Construction Management 260 course to perform cost estimation for the new Quinta-Gamelin Community Center.

The course simulated an integrated design process in which individual stakeholders lent their particular expertise and bias to the design process. Following the methods of Integrated Project Delivery and using Building Information Modeling, students developed a feasible project for the renovation of the Quinta-Gamelin Army Reserve Center into a new community center for the town of Bristol, Rhode Island.

What follows in this book is a compilation of work from the student teams.



Community center site plan
proposed by Team 2.



Table of Contents

Team 1	5
Team 2	14
Team 3	22
Team 4	30
Team 5	37
Team 6	42
Team 7	48
Team 8	57
Team 9	62

May 2013: ARCH 488 | Professor Gary Graham | CM 260 | Professor B. Gokhan Celik
 Team 1: Caileigh Bailey-Ricci | Joseph Cardella | Nicholas Musilli | Thib Alqahtani | Rebecca Rokicki | David Vales
 Team 2: Jason Waisnor | Matt Eckel | Ron Lucia | Ben Lane
 Team 3: Amged Mourad | Waleed Altrukuni | Xiqiao Liu | Charles Woods
 Team 4: Patrick Flattery | Antonia Papadopoulos | Kenneth Post | Patricia Reccoppa
 Team 5: Lauren Bombara | Ruddy Lopez | Steven Pulver
 Team 6: Lisa Fasciglione | Tim Pranaitis | James Kohnke | Christian Hollendonner
 Team 7: Shawn Johnson | Pedro Hernandez | Nathaniel Schutez | John Gaughan
 Team 8: Oliver Ames | Colby Karambelas | Jaret Moskal | Matthew Silva
 Team 9: Anthony Cassciotta | Michael Laurencelle | Brandon Dasilva

Team 1

Design Option 1

In our first design strategy, we explored the option of putting most of the new program into the existing building. This design was an exercise to understand how much of the existing building could be utilized for its new requirements.


This strategy only allowed us to fit a fraction of the required program in the site. Understanding that the program would require approximately double the amount of space, we proposed a renovated option to the town of Bristol. This option would bring the existing building up to date as well as provide the best equipment for the facility.

The proposed plan has administration spaces in the south rooms, providing them with natural sunlight during most of the day. The majority of the public community and social spaces occupy much of the area in the existing building, with utilities and additional fitness spaces occupying any remaining space.

1



2



1. Proposed floor plan for Design Option 1.


2. Energy analysis for Design Option 1 using an 11.5 EER Packaged Terminal Heat Pump.

Team 1

Design Option 2

In our second design strategy, we expanded the existing building slightly to accommodate space for the proposed pools and additional programming.

The interior of the original building below the gymnasium has been cleared and reorganized to better suit the desired building program. The eastern wall was bumped out to provide an area for administration spaces. We kept all fitness spaces in the northern half of the building, allowing the core of the building to have space available for seniors and administration support. The southern rooms of the building were designed to create community social spaces.




1. Proposed floor plan for Design Option 2.

2. Energy analysis for Design Option 2 using a 4 pipe fan coil system.


Team 1

Design Option 3

In our third design strategy, we explored a more radical change to the building — allowing the proposed program uses to fit inside the existing space. This layout would place all programming dealing with fitness at the southern half of the building and leave the remaining space open for public programming and administration.



2



1. Proposed floor plan
for Design Option 3.

2. Energy analysis for
Design Option 3 using a
2 pipe fan coil system.

Team 1

Final Design



Exterior southeast
perspective.




1. Office
2. Reception
3. Health Counseling
4. Music Room
5. Mechanical Room
6. Program Office / Sign up desk
7. Conference Room (12)
8. Program Director's Office
9. Dividable Space
10. Game Room
11. Server Room
12. Computer Room
13. Storage
14. Women's Bathroom
15. Men's Bathroom
16. Handicap Bathroom
17. Mech. Room
18. Senior Lounge
19. Storage
20. Activity Room/ Multipurpose Room
21. Gym
22. Daycare
23. Classroom
24. Art Room w/ Kiln
25. Art Room (Dry)
26. Adult Lounge / Pool Viewing Room
27. Lap Pool
28. Therapy Pool
29. Kitchen
30. Fitness Room
31. Yoga Room
32. Locker Rooms

Floor plan




Elevations:
Purple areas represent
Phase 1 of the project,
and pink areas represent
Phase 2.




1. East elevation

2. West elevation





1. Gymnasium.



2. Aerial perspective of
community center.

2. Recreation and
therapy pool.



Team 1

Cost Analysis

PHASE 1 [INCLUDES GYMNASIUM + DAYCARE]

BUILDING TYPE: COMMUNITY CENTER

LOCATION: BRISTOL, RI

STORIES: 1 [14.4']

FLOOR AREA: [S.F.] 26,346

LABOR TYPE: STD

BASEMENT INCLUDED: NO

DATA RELEASE YEAR: 2013 QUARTER 1

COST PER SF: 91.49

BUILDING COST [EXISTING AND ADDITION] [EXCLUDING POOLS] **\$2,491,841**

CONTRACTOR FEES [GC, OVERHEAD, PROFIT] 25.0% **\$622,960**

ARCHITECT FEES 9.0% **\$224,265**

PHASE 2 [INCLUDES POOLS AND LOCKER ROOMS]

STORIES: 2 [25.6']

FLOOR AREA: [S.F.] 15,429

LABOR TYPE: STD

BASEMENT INCLUDED: NO

COST PER SF: 91.49

BUILDING COST [ADDITION] [INCLUDING POOLS] **\$1,411,599**

CONTRACTOR FEES [GC, OVERHEAD, PROFIT] 25.0% **\$352,899**

ARCHITECT FEES 9.0% **\$127,043**

TOTAL COSTS: **\$3,903,440**

TOTAL CONTRACTOR FEES: **\$975,859**

TOTAL ARCHITECT FEES: **\$351,308**


FINISHED TOTALS: **\$5,230,607**

Team 2


Design Options

Design Option 1

- Conserves most of the existing building.
- Related massing.
- Leaves room for an open courtyard space.




2




Design Option 3 (Preferred Scheme)

- Connects to new daycare center.
- Expanded gymnasium.
- Most compact.

3





Evolution of the project
into its final design.

FINAL




Team 2

Final Design




Proposed front entrance
to community center.

1



2




1. Program diagram


2. Ground floor plan



1. Section through gymnasium and exercise room.


2. Section through gymnasium and lane pool.





1. East elevation

2. South elevation





- 1. Lane pool
- 2. Gymnasium
- 3. Playground view



Team 3

Project Narrative

The Quinta-Gamelin Community Center project will be developed on a 5.3-acre property in Bristol, Rhode Island. It will include a community youth center, summer fun camp, pre-school and mom's club space, senior citizen's lounge, fitness center, swimming pools, administrative space and garage. The center will fulfill the needs of Bristol adults and youth as an educational gathering place, while creating a community zone with the surrounding recreational park and waterfront.




Site development plan

Team 3

Final Design



View from Asylum
Road.



Floor plan exhibiting three phases of development.


1



1. Front elevation

2. Section A

2




1



1. Rear elevation

2. Section B

2





- 1. Gymnasium
- 2. Pool
- 3. Front entrance



1 Base Run

Energy, Carbon and Cost Summary

Annual Energy Cost: \$62,766

Lifecycle Cost: \$1,127,278

Annual CO₂ Emissions

Electric: 383.0 tons

Onsite Fuel: 117.4 tons

Large SUV Equivalent: 45.5 SUVs / Year

Annual Energy

Energy Use Intensity (EUI): 48 kBtu / ft² / year

Electric: 425,906 kWh

Fuel: 20,237 Therms

Annual Peak Demand: 167.4 kW

Lifecycle Energy

Electric: 12,777,237 kWh

Fuel: 607,096 Therms

Metal frame roof with high insulation

Brick on metal stud (walls)

Lighting Efficiency: LPD 25%

Fan Coil 4 pipes system

2 Design Alternative

Estimated Energy & Cost Summary

Annual Energy Cost: \$52,341

Lifecycle Cost: \$712,876

Annual CO₂ Emissions

Electric: 354.0 tons

Onsite Fuel: 8.4 tons

Large SUV Equivalent: 32.6 SUVs / Year

Annual Energy

Energy Use Intensity (EUI): 48 kBtu / ft² / year

Electric: 398,834 kWh

Fuel: 1,103 Therms

Annual Peak Demand: 317.6 kW

Lifecycle Energy

Electric: 11,968,032 kWh

Fuel: 33,093 Therms

Super high insulated green roof

Insulated concrete wall 12" thick


Lighting Efficiency: LPD 10%

Occupancy/ Day lighting Sensors and Controls

Premium Efficiency 17 SEER 19.6 HSPF Air Source Heat pump > 5.5 ton




Final performance analysis
of energy costs.



Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	Cost
Site Work	14 days	Tue 5/13/14	Fri 5/30/14	\$86,080.00
Shell	42 days	Mon 6/9/14	Tue 7/29/14	\$486,012.40
Interior	36 days	Wed 7/30/14	Mon 9/9/14	\$228,900.73
Services	9 days	Wed 7/30/14	Mon 8/11/14	\$49,379.01
Mechanical Systems	5 days	Wed 7/30/14	Fri 8/8/14	\$34,000.00
PHASE 1 COMPLETION	80 days	Tue 5/13/14	Wed 8/27/14	\$1,148,679.90

Architect fee 8%	\$68,920.44
CM Fee 2%	\$22,973.48
Final cost (fee's included)	\$1,240,567.83



Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	Cost
Building Sitework	18 days	Thu 9/4/14	Mon 9/29/14	\$68,000.00
Shell	62 days	Tue 9/30/14	Mon 11/24/14	\$458,138.44
Interior	17 days	Mon 11/24/14	Mon 12/15/14	\$141,669.73
Services	2 days	Thu 11/27/14	Fri 11/28/14	\$35,603.56
Mechanical & electrical systems	8 days	Mon 11/24/14	Mon 12/15/14	\$548,150.48
PHASE 2 Completion	94 days	Thu 9/4/14	Fri 11/13/14	\$1,160,168.24

Architect fee 8%	\$69,610.09
CM Fee 2%	\$23,209.38
Final cost (fee's included)	\$1,252,981.70



Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	Cost
Building Sitework	10 days	Wed 12/3/14	Fri 12/19/14	\$1,000,000.00
Shell	36 days	Wed 12/3/14	Wed 1/21/15	\$886,703.08
Interior	10 days	Fri 12/19/14	Mon 1/12/15	\$138,000.00
Mechanical and Electrical Systems	7 days	Fri 12/19/14	Mon 1/12/15	\$88,000.00
Phase 3 Complete	106 days	Wed 12/3/14	Wed 1/21/15	\$2,094,703.08

Architect fee 8%	\$171,868.33
CM Fee 2%	\$57,285.04
Final cost (fee's included)	\$3,093,792.33

PHASE 1,2,3 (W/ FEES)	\$4,333,960.17
-----------------------	----------------

Cost analysis of each phase of the project.

Team 4

Phase 1: Existing Building and Landscape

- Demolish existing designated walls, flooring and second floor.
- Demolish back lot.
- Create basketball court in southwest corner.
- New floor and walls in existing basketball court.
- New locker rooms and restrooms.
- Connect to bike path.
- Clean up southwest brush and trees.

Phase 2: Main Thruway and Daycare

- Demolish existing designated walls and flooring.
- Create space for primary passage.
- New walls and floor.
- New interior partitions.
- Demolish interior of garage.
- Addition to existing garage shell to create daycare.

Phase 3: Adult Wing

- Demolish existing designated walls.
- New walls and floor in adult wing.

Phase 4: Outdoor Pool

- Excavate land for new pool.
- Pour new pool.



Team 4

Final Design



Quinta Gamelin model






Elevations



Section drawings



Program


Cost analysis of the project.

Team 5

Final Design

Phase 1: Rehabilitation, Demolition and Reconstruction


1. Rehabilitate existing building and structure
 - Offices
 - Community social spaces, teen spaces, service space
2. Demolish and reconstruct
 - New gymnasium and structure
 - Snack bar and kitchen
 - Daycare
 - Running track



Floor plan (dark areas represent Phase 1).

Phase 2: Construction of Lap Pool

- Lap pool and seating
- Major circulation corridors
- Fitness rooms




Floor plan (dark areas represent Phases 1 and 2).

Phase 3: Construction

- Therapy pool
- Emergency egress from second floor

Phase 4: *Pending

- Roof garden over existing building
- Landscape for main entry




Floor plan showing
Phases 1 through 3
completed.

1



2



1. Gymnasium and
running track

2. Lap pool

3. Entry perspective


3





1. Section through swimming pools.

2. Section through gymnasium.



Team 6

Goals and Metrics

Sustainability

Keep maximum amount of the existing building throughout the design process. This will significantly cut costs by recycling existing walls.

Include all wanted program at actual size

Begin with all programming included at regulation size. \$2.5 million proposal will include maximum amount of program still at regular size. Change the gymnasium size to meet regulation guidelines.

Take advantage of natural light

Maximize the use of glass to increase the amount of natural light in the building. Use natural life to decrease energy costs and unite the building with the park.

Analysis of Project Viability

Camp registration

By having indoor space to keep camps open on rainy days, there will be an increase in the number of families participating in the program, resulting in increased revenue.

Field and facility use

The new facility will add meeting space for many of community organizations and sport leagues as well as people interested in renting the gymnasium and meeting rooms. Last year our community center gymnasium was given out 192 times on an average of two hours each, our meeting room was given out 68 times. Similar towns rent these facilities at approximately \$15.00 an hour.

Booth fees

The revenue generated by the Booth will slightly increase as the popularity of the complex increases.

Membership fees

This new Recreation Center will include a membership fee which we project to be \$75.00 a year for individuals and \$150.00 a year for families.

Personnel

The new facility will require one additional full time maintenance worker as well as 2 or 3 additional part time workers depending on hours of operation.

Utilities

After consulting with our Town Treasurer and comparing it with a similar building such as the Bristol Police Station, we are confident that by vacating the Bristol Community Center on Thames Street we will be transferring utility cost to a building which is significantly more energy efficient.

Program for proposed
community center.

Town of Bristol Proposed Community Center			
Program Requirements - All Elements			
Administrative Space			
Office Recreation Director	1	180	180
Office - Assistant Director	1	120	120
Office - 2 Staff + Machines/Forms Storage	1	210	210
Program Office/Sign Up Desk (2 Volunteers)	1	200	200
Conference Room/Meeting Room (12)	1	400	400
Subtotal Recreation Department			1110
Senior's/Dedicated Space			
Senior Lounge/Sitting/Library (10)	1	200	200
Health Counseling	1	200	200
Seniors Exercise (Age Appropriate Equip)	1	300	300
Storage	1	80	80
Subtotal Senior Center/Dedicated Space			780
Teens/Dedicated Space			
Office: Program Director	1	120	120
Games Room/Social Recreation	1	500	500
Music Room	1	300	300
After School/Computer	1	250	250
Counseling/Quest	1	100	100
Storage	1	100	100
Subtotal Teen Center Dedicated Space			1370
Community Social/Activity Spaces			
Cafe/Snack	1	450	450
Adult Lounge	1	500	500
Activity Room/Multi-purpose	1	900	900
Arts & Crafts Room (ret) WithIn	1	500	500
Arts & Crafts Room (y) w/Storage	1	400	400
Computer/Media Center	1	450	450
Game Room/Billiards/Ping Pong	1	450	450
Function Room/Divisible (2) (200)	0	3000	0
Outdoor Planch/Deck	0	500	0
Subtotal Social/Activity Space			3650
Community Sports/Fitness/Support			
Gymnasium	1	5000	5000
Fitness: Stretching/Free Weights/Cardio/Strength	1	100	100
Group Exercise Studio: Yoga/Martial Arts/Dance	1	600	600
Lap Pool/Deck	1	6000	6000
Therapy/Recreation Pool	1	6000	6000
Male Lockers/Shower/Bathrooms	1	600	600
Female Lockers/Shower/Bathrooms	1	600	600
Storage	1	100	100
Subtotal Community Sports/Fitness/Support			19000
Program Support Space			
Reception (2)	1	300	300
Kitchen/Party/Hall O.W.	1	800	800
Vending/Concessions	1	200	200
General Storage	1	400	400
Women's Room (5 lockers)	1	250	250
Men's Room (4 lockers)	1	200	200
Handicapped Toilet	3	80	180
Coats & Boots	1	100	100
Subtotal Support			2430
Building Support Space			
Housekeeping/U.C.	2	20	40
IT/Server Room	1	120	120
Mechanical	1	400	400
Parking (120)	0		0
Subtotal Support			660
Day Care			
Class Room	3	420	1260
Office	1	120	120
Bath/room	2	50	100
Storage	1	100	100
Subtotal Support			1580
Total Net			30480
Total Gross @ 1.3			39624
Net Gross Square Feet			25000
Total Project Costs @ \$200 psf			17,500,000

Team 6

Cost Estimate

Town of Bristol Proposed Community Center Conceptualization Cost Estimate				
M.170 Community Center (Means SF)	SF	39624	Carried over from Program Calculation	
Quality: Good, One Story Construction			Per Design: From Table	
Base Cost		\$145.65	Interpolated from Reference Source (Means)	
Elevator Adjustment	0		\$45,000 per floor (ie: 90,000/y-sf = x/sf)	
Perimeter Adjustment	\$1.00	\$146.65		
Height Adjustment (per foot above ref.)	2 \$1.55	\$149.75		
Basement Adjustment	\$31.35	\$0.00	From Table (31.35/sf - Depends on Footprint)	
Premium Material Consideration	\$12.50	\$12.50	Determine with Design Team	
Adjusted Base Costs		\$162.25		
Current Cost Multiplier (Inflation Factor)	1.03	\$167.12	Mid-Point of Construction/@Projected Inflation Rate	
Local Conditions Multiplier (Providence)	1.07	\$178.82		
Adjusted Square Foot Costs		\$178.82		
Estimated Construction Costs		\$7,085,563.68		
Site Development Costs(\$2000/parking space)	120 2000	\$260,000.00	Confirm with Civil Engineering Consultants	
Equipment/Furnishings		\$0.00	From Owner	
Total Hard Costs		\$7,345,563.68	\$185.38	
Base Design Fees (Interpolate from Fee Chart)	6.0%	\$440,733.82	Agreed to by Integrated Team	
Misc. Project Costs/Printing & Reimbursables)	2.0%	\$146,911.27		
Contingency	15%	\$1,101,834.55	15% @ Conceptualization Stage	
Project Costs/Base		\$9,035,043.33	\$228.02	
Bonus Design Fees (Achieving Goals)	4.0%	\$361,401.73	Agreed to by Integrated Team	
Project Costs/Bonused		\$9,396,445.06		
Draft				
Revised: 2/20/13				

MASTER PLAN
PROJECT COST:
\$9,560,750.00

GROSS SQ. FT: 41,960

REVISED PROJECT COST:
\$2,088,730.73 +
FURNITURE, FINISHES, AND SITEWORK

AVAILABLE:
\$2,500,000.00

GROSS SQ. FT: 28,376


Team 6

Final Design




Exterior and front entrance

1




2




1. Master plan

2. \$2.5 million revised plan




1. East elevation
2. South elevation





1. Section A



2. Section B



Team 7

Design Option 1

This scheme utilizes the pool and the gym as a major programmatic element, and the design is built around these two pieces. This design maintains much of the required program by building upward and utilizing a second floor instead of keeping everything in a single story. Thus, the gym and the pool become focal points for the project.





Proposed 3D rendering
and floor plan for
Design Option 1.

Team 7

Design Option 2

This scheme uses much of the existing building by gutting the building and then re-programming it. The design requires demolishing a vast amount of interior walls while maintaining the exterior skin of the building. It uses all of the programmatic elements required by the client.




Proposed 3D rendering
and floor plan for
Design Option 2.

Team 7

Design Option 3


This scheme builds out to the western portion of the site with a program geared towards youth. Some of the existing building will be re-used as a gym. This design does not include the idea of having a future pool.




Proposed 3D rendering
and floor plan for
Design Option 3.

Team 7

Final Design





Proposed 3D rendering and floor plan of final design.



1. Building elevation of the basketball court.

2. Building elevation depicting new structure for the pool complex.





Building sections illustrate (1) a proposed new roof over the basketball court and (2) the pool complex in Phase 2 of the project.

Team 7

Cost Analysis

Phase 1

- Renovate existing building.
- Demolish interior partitions while maintaining existing exterior façade.
- Addition of basketball court inside the footprint of the existing building.
- Addition of central lobby space.
- Lifting roof and addition of new steel structure to support the building and achieve maximum playing height.

Estimated Cost:


\$1,582,284.00 Phase 1, New Construction +
\$80,000.0 Phase 1, Demolition

\$1,662,284.00
x .40 Mechanical

\$2,327,197.00 +
2% Base Design Fees

\$2,373,740 +
15% Contingency

\$2,729,801.00



Phase 2

- Expand existing building.
- Add space for a daycare.
- Addition of pool complex.
- Installation of new mechanical systems throughout complex.

Estimated Cost:


\$1,765,600.0 Phase 2, New Construction +
\$0.0 Phase 2, Demolition

\$1,765,600.0
x .40 Mechanical

\$2,471,840.00 +
2% Base Design Fees

\$2,521,276 +
15% Contingency

\$2,899,467



Phase 3

- Addition of courtyard and connecting paths to unite new and existing construction.
- Could be combined with Phase 2.


Estimated Cost:

\$350,000.00 Phase 3, New Construction +
\$0.00 Phase 3, Demolition

\$350,000.00 +
2% Base Design Fees

\$357,000.00 +
15% Contingency

\$410,550.00



Goals


The focus of this goal is to provide the client and owner with their “must have” elements. Although, seemingly impossible in the current footprint, a full size gymnasium, heated therapy pool, and an, at minimum, 6 lane lap pool is to be included at some phase to this project to be able to fulfill this goal.

The following three topics relate to the client's wishes for an environmentally sustainable complex. These three conditions must be fulfilled to accomplish this goal, but by no means limits other green building features.



As previously mentioned, a type of renewable energy resource will be required to fulfill this goal. This includes, but is not limited to, photovoltaic panels, rainwater distribution, composting toilets, triple glazed glass, greenhouse heat storage, etc. LEED Rating At a minimum, the complex must reach a Silver status when compared against the LEED scorecard.






1. Pool


2. 3D rendering

3. Floor plan showing
Phase 3 of the project,
which includes the pool.



Phase 3 elevation and
section drawings.

	Base	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3
Carbon Emissions (tons)				
Annually	461.30	381.00	386.80	481.00
Monthly	38.44	31.75	32.23	40.08
Daily	1.26	1.07	1.07	1.30
Hourly	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05
Electricity Use (kWh)				
Annually	89605.00	80884.00	88884.00	429184.00
Monthly	7467.08	6739.50	7407.00	35765.33
Daily	244.10	217.42	238.90	1153.85
Hourly	10.17	9.06	9.95	47.66
Fuel Use (Therms)				
Annually	13482.00	8471.00	8157.00	1134.00
Monthly	1123.50	705.92	679.75	94.50
Daily	37.78	23.53	22.62	3.15
Hourly	1.57	0.98	0.94	0.13
Electricity Costs				
Annually	\$40,340.00	\$42,900.00	\$42,798.00	\$24,625.00
Monthly	\$3,361.67	\$3,575.00	\$3,566.50	\$2,052.08
Daily	\$110.71	\$119.17	\$118.88	\$68.40
Hourly	\$4.61	\$4.96	\$4.95	\$2.85
Energy Costs				
Annually	\$73,980.00	\$24,421.00	\$24,828.00	\$24,889.00
Monthly	\$6,165.00	\$2,035.08	\$2,069.00	\$2,074.08
Daily	\$205.50	\$67.83	\$68.97	\$69.13
Hourly	\$8.56	\$2.83	\$2.87	\$2.88
Fuel Costs				
Annually	\$17,052.00	\$11,421.00	\$11,081.00	\$1,488.00
Monthly	\$1,421.00	\$951.75	\$923.42	\$124.00
Daily	\$47.35	\$30.69	\$29.78	\$4.00
Hourly	\$1.97	\$1.28	\$1.24	\$0.17




	AnnualElectricityCost	AnnualFuelCost	AnnualOtherCost	AnnualElectricityCost	AnnualFuelCost	AnnualOtherCost	TotalCost
Alternative 1	\$42,900.00	\$11,421.00	\$1,488.00	\$42,900.00	\$11,421.00	\$1,488.00	\$55,809.00
Alternative 2	\$42,798.00	\$11,081.00	\$1,488.00	\$42,798.00	\$11,081.00	\$1,488.00	\$55,367.00
Alternative 3	\$24,625.00	\$1,488.00	\$1,488.00	\$24,625.00	\$1,488.00	\$1,488.00	\$27,601.00

	Base	Alt 1	Alt 2	Alt 3
\$73,110.00 EWall Glazing Amt -25		1		
\$73,060.00 EWall Glazing Amt -10			1	
\$74,047.00 EWall Glazing Amt +10				1
\$74,337.00 EWall Glazing Amt +25				
\$73,781.00 WWall Glazing Amt -25		1		
\$73,904.00 WWall Glazing Amt -10			1	
\$73,990.00 WWall Glazing Amt +10				1
\$74,027.00 WWall Glazing Amt +25				
\$73,854.00 SWall Glazing Amt -25		1		
\$73,925.00 SWall Glazing Amt -10			1	
\$74,000.00 SWall Glazing Amt +10				1
\$74,115.00 SWall Glazing Amt +25				
\$73,887.00 NWall Glazing Amt -25		1		
\$73,888.00 NWall Glazing Amt -10			1	
\$74,120.00 NWall Glazing Amt +10				1
\$74,268.00 NWall Glazing Amt +25				
\$74,068.00 NWall Coat Mid High Insul		1		
\$74,727.00 NWall Coat Wood High Insul			1	
\$76,825.00 NWall Coat Wood no Insul				1
\$76,781.00 NWall Coat Mid no Insul				
\$71,091.00 Lighting Both Sensors		1		
\$73,210.00 Lighting Oc Sensors			1	
\$73,706.00 Lighting Day Sensors				1
\$73,200.00 Roof Wood High Insul		1		
\$73,202.00 Roof Wood High Insul			1	
\$100,227.00 Roof Wood no Insul				1
\$100,227.00 Roof Wood no Insul				
\$58,847.00 HVAC 14SEER		1		
\$60,100.00 HVAC 14 SEER HSPF			1	
\$60,408.00 HVAC 12SEER				1
\$67,003.00 HVAC 4 Pipe Fan Coil				
\$67,987.00 HVAC 2 Pipe Fan Coil				
	\$74,337.12	\$54,338.75	\$54,338.75	\$54,338.75

Energy analysis

Team 9


Design Option 1



Floor plan, section and 3D rendering of Design Option 1.

Team 9


Design Option 2



Floor plan, section and
3D rendering of Design
Option 2.

Team 9


Design Option 3




Floor plan, section and 3D rendering of Design Option 3.

Team 9

Final Design



- 1. Entry
- 2. Front entrance to community center.
- 3. Floor plan



1. Pool

2. South and west
elevations

3. Grounds and covered
path outside the
building.

Team 9


Square Footage Takeoff

Initial Program

Administrative Areas			
Director's Office	1	150	150 (computer desk, conference table)
Support Rooms	2	100	200 office space for staff
Reception	1	150	150 entry desk and information center
subtotal		500	
Special Activity Spaces			
Health Food Cafe	1	400-600	pool table, community center, beverages counter
Meeting Room	1	150	150
Computer Room	1	200	200
Conference Room	1	200	200 desk, chairs
subtotal		950	
Service Spaces			
Mens Bathroom	1	100	100
Womens Bathroom	1	100	100 to be remodeled from existing to make larger
Mens Lockers	1	200	200 (connected to gymnasium)
Womens Lockers	1	200	200 (connected to gymnasium)
Storage	2	50	100
Kitchen Storage	1	50	50
Golf Cart Storage	1	400	400
subtotal		1150	
Physical Fitness and Wellness			
Aerobics, yoga	1	400	400
Weight Room	1	400-600	to contain treadmills, weights, bikes etc. for fitness
Gymnasium	1	4000-6000	Existing mazzonine to be removed
Lap Pool	1	4000	
Therapeutic Pool	1	9000	
subtotal		17800	
Daycare			
Storage	1	150	150 student supplies, chairs, lighting, projection equipment
classroom	3	400-600	desks, carpets, bookshelf
naps bathroom	2	100	100 small scale bathroom for children
Play Room	1	400-600	play space, bean bag chairs, donated toys
subtotal		1950	
Total NSF		23350	
Summary of Space Requirements			
Total Net Square Footage		23350	
Total Gross Square Foot @ 1.4 x Net		32690	

Final Program

[illegible]



Program

Team 9

Cost Analysis

Initial Cost to Owner	\$1,500,000
Anonymous Gift	\$1,000,000
Cost Target	\$2,500,000
Designer/Architect Fees (-8%)	\$200,000
Construction Management Fees (-5%)	\$ 125,000
Bonus Pool (-2%0	\$ 50,000
Cost Target (materials and hard costs)	\$2,125,000
Rec Center Total Cost	
Two Additions	
MEP	
Interior/Exterior Finishes	
Subtotal:	\$750,00
Pool	
Pool Addition	
Amenities/Pumps and Filtration systems	
MEP	
Interior/Exterior Finishes	
Subtotal:	\$1,400,000
Bonus Allocation Removal	\$25,000
Grand Total:	\$2,150,000
Cost to Client + Anonymous Gift	\$2,450,000



Roger Williams University
One Old Ferry Road
Bristol, Rhode Island 02809

cpc@rwu.edu
<http://cpc.rwu.edu>