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II. Introduction

Recently, cities such as Bilbao, Shanghai, and Dubai have all successfully used architecture to enhance their image and elevate their position in the world. Also, buildings that are currently under construction in the Far East, the Middle East, the United States, and Europe are attempts to redefine urban, regional, and even national identities. One can observe how important architecture is to branding and vice versa.

In order to understand Branding in Architecture we first need to define a brand. What is a brand?

1. A trademark or distinctive name identifying a product or a manufacturer.
2. Kind, grade, or make, as indicated by a stamp, trademark, or the like
3. A kind or variety of something distinguished by some distinctive characteristic
4. A distinguishing symbol, mark, logo, name, word, sentence, or a combination of these items that companies use to distinguish their product from others in the market.

-Source: Dictionary.com

Famous Brand/Product Slogans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Slogan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sprite</td>
<td>Image is nothing. Thirst is everything. Obey your thirst.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nike</td>
<td>Just Do it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coca Cola</td>
<td>The Real Thing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L’Oreal</td>
<td>Because you’re worth it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VISA</td>
<td>It's everywhere you want to be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple Computer</td>
<td>Everything is easier on a Mac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dell Computer</td>
<td>Easy as Dell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eBay</td>
<td>The World's Online Market Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow Pages</td>
<td>Let your fingers do the walking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDonald's</td>
<td>I'm loving it!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-Source: http://www.buzzle.com/articles/famous-advertising-slogans.html
What is your favorite marketing slogan? My favorite, Sprite “Image is nothing. Thirst is everything. Obey your Thirst”. If you break down the words it implies that Sprite doesn’t have an image and it’s more about the beverage or product. Dissecting these statements, it doesn’t make sense because the image or “hook” is that they don’t have an image, but that is not true because not having an image, is the image therefore defeating the purpose of trying not to have a marketing gimmick.

Together, products and technological media form a potent formula that has transformed into the art of shopping. Shopping is the medium by which the market has solidified its grip on our spaces, buildings, activities, and lives. Shopping is arguable one of the last remaining forms of public activity; more specifically in the teenage years. The Generation Y (1982-1994) and Z (1995-present) are a large demographic, as are the supposed potential future generations. Within the last few decades it has become the trend to gather at the “mall”. It seems as if this shopping trend will only grow into every intergenerational pastime.

Shopping has had the ability to colonize, almost every aspect of urban life. Every project type now has some form of shopping (product/brand) ie, town centers, suburbs, streets, train stations, museums, hospitals, schools and even the military. Airports are becoming more profitable by converting travelers to shoppers. Even museums are turning to shopping to survive. Since 1992, gallery space in the United States has increased 3% while museum stores space has increased by 29%.

-Source: Harvard Design School Guide to Shopping: Project on the City 2

Some architects disapprove of using branding as a way to market a product to a building. Yet they use shopping configurations to help aid the attendance in museums and universities, so why not bring branding to the forefront rather than being ashamed of it? Other architectural firms, such as
Perkins and Will, and Gensler, have been using branded environments. They have a special research team that helps define the product, buyer, and the seller, and analyzes how spaces should be configured to best suit those needs.

The Branded Environments group of Perkins+Will helps clients get closer to their customers. Branded Environments has delivered strategic brand communications, sales tools and environments for the nation's most recognized brands. Organizations such as Hallmark, DuPont Antron, Ogilvy & Mather, Haworth, Northwestern University, Chicago Tribune and Tootsie Roll have all benefited from branded environment innovations. This research-driven creative service is internationally recognized for leveraging design as a corporate asset. Compelling two and three-dimensional contact points are created to communicate the company's brand, enhance culture and achieve corporate goals. A dedicated, multi-disciplinary team includes specialists in strategic consulting, brand development, research, marketing communications, graphic design and interior architecture.

-Source: perkinswill.com

Branded environments extend the experience of an organization by having distinguishing characteristics as expressed in names, symbols and designs. Components can include, but not limited to: finish materials, environmental graphics, way-finding devices and signage and/or identity systems. The benefits include improved brand position and communication, better customer recognition, differentiation from competitors and higher perceived value from investors.

The role that architecture can play as catalyst in marketing product’s and its users has been a largely underutilized resource. Branded Architecture can make positive strives in the way we associate, learn, and think about specific cities, towns, neighborhoods, and even individual buildings.
III. Problem Statement

Using Architecture as a branding strategy often fails to establish sensitive connections to particular contexts by imposing standardized forms and formulas on the urban landscape. I want to reexamine the relationship between branding in architecture. True branding elements are more site and project specific than in previous works. The fundamental goal in redefining branding in architecture is creating specific spaces or environments that engage the public all while sending the message of the brand via programmatic, structural, and/or architectural issues. These elements mentioned are just a few components, however, they can help in enforce company’s goals or product and the user’s activities within the strategic designed spaces.

IV. Project Statement

I am proposing a Master Plan of a 26 acre (1.4 million sq. ft.) development on top of the West Side Railyards near Midtown Manhattan. Midtown Manhattan in and of itself has a brand and I would like to design within it.
V. Program Outline/ Interrelations and Adjacencies/ Narrative

**Narrative**

Modeling other urban developments (such as Rockefeller Center and the World Trade Center Redesign), developing an ongoing program based upon strategic spaces that start connecting to the surrounding context. The primary focus will be creating a destination that anchors the Highline in connection with public green space. While examining Manhattan, there seems to be a lack of green space towards the Midtown area, so many of the programmatic issues will address this problem.

**Interrelations and Adjacencies**

Program Elements will be governed by pedestrian accessibility

- **The Highline** (1.5 miles of existing elevated railway spanning from Greenwich Village to the Westside Railyards).
- Subway (7-line extension)
- Bus Stops (near the Javits Center)
- Javits Center (public exhibition space)
- Penn Station
- Madison Square Garden
- The Empire State Building (popular tourist attraction in Manhattan)
- 34th Street District (multiple blocks of retail)
- Surrounding Residential Neighborhoods (Hell’s Kitchen, West Chelsea, and Farley Corridor)

**Program Outline/ Area Allocations:**

- Connection to the Highline and a Pier that bridges over highway

- Housing.........................................................1,000,000 sq. ft
- Office Space....................................................1,100,000 sq. ft.
- Cultural Center.............................................75,000 sq. ft.
- Retail/Commercial Space.................................875,000 sq. ft.
- Fitness Center.............................................50,000 sq. ft.

**Total:** 3,000,000 sq. ft.
VI. Program Themes/ Architectural Intentions

The goal is to create a series of towers that specifically focus on anchoring the Highline as a destination all while enhancing the “New York Experience” of the surrounding context. One missing component in New York City is a self-guided exploration while above the ground. There are instances where you can go to the observation decks of buildings and look out, ie Empire State Building and the Top of the Rock (Rockefeller Center), even taking a helicopter ride to name some examples. However there isn’t a way of experiencing Manhattan above ground level whereby the pedestrian can engage its surrounds in a more dynamic way. This was until the announcement of the Highline being restored and made into a pedestrian walkway that links Greenwich Village to the Westside Railyards. Currently, this elevated railway terminates at the Westside Railyards site. I want to use this under-utilized walkway as the anchor of my development and specifically create spaces that embrace

The brand will be re-identifying the unused railyards, due to its proximity to the highline, Javits Center, Pennsylvania Station, Madison Square Garden, the Hudson River, and is the intersection of the West Chelsea, Hell’s Kitchen Neighborhoods, and the Farley Corridor. It’s ability to adapt and mold all of these elements in a new innovative way will be the product of the brand. It will harness the potential destination of the Highline as a way to draw more people into the development which includes retail, exhibition, mixed use, and public spaces. Each of these programmatic elements are then made into towers to aid in the visible connection to Midtown Manhattan; which truly is a series of elevated skyscrapers.
VII. Site Identification and Rationale

The vision for the Hudson Rail Yards in New York’s far west side is to transform this underused area into a place where New Yorker’s and tourists will want to live, work, play, and visit. The location will allow for the expansion of midtown’s central business district and to secure the city’s economic future. The Hudson Yards will provide opportunities for the desperately needed office space, convention center expansion, and residential growth that the city will need to see in the next few decades. The New York region anticipates that they will need to accommodate over 440,000 new workers that will require a total of 111 million square feet of office space by the year 2025. There are not many sites left in Midtown that can accommodate new office buildings. Studies show that at most New York only has 20 million square feet left for the needs of these office buildings. The Hudson Rail Yards in one of the last frontiers available in Manhattan. This area has enough acres to meet the public responsibility to keep providing job and housing opportunities for all New Yorker’s. The footprint is larger than Rockefeller Center’s and the potential for more commercial and residential space than ground zero. As said by Manhattan’s governor David Patterson that the future development would “send an enormous signal of confidence in New York City’s future.”

The site context of the Hudson Rail Yards is located on Manhattan’s West Side between the west side highway and 10th avenue and between 30th and 33rd streets. The site is 26 acres of rail yards where dozens of tracks leading in and out of Pennsylvania Station carve through the site. It is 6 square blocks where a string of parking lots and old industrial buildings flank the tracks to the south.
A. Comparison to Future BRAND Development in Manhattan: The World Trade Center Redesign

The new World Trade Center is to be completed over the next decade providing nearly 10 million square feet of commercial space. The site context of the World Trade Center is approximately 16 acres. On these 16 acres is the new Freedom Tower that will taper into eight tall isosceles triangles forming a perfect octagon at the center. The top will be illuminated to evoke the torch of the statue of liberty. The Freedom Tower will retain the height of the earlier design at 1,776 feet tall. This symbolizes the year that the United States declared its independence as well as a memorial to the twin towers. There will also be a memorial built in remembrance of the events of September 11, 2001. There are entrances on all four sides of the tower which are open, accessible, and connected to the community and street life. The building’s base is shrunk to 200 square feet same as the original and is set back 90 feet from the street to add more grade level space for emergency vehicles as compared to only a 25 foot setback in the original. This building is meant to be the country’s safest with a steel frame vertical core that is enveloped by 2 feet of solid concrete. In the core there is an emergency fireman’s lift as well as emergency systems like generators, pressurized ventilation systems, and high capacity water storage for the sprinklers. Other notable features about this design proposal is that it also strives for high sustainability levels by using state of the art energy saving technology.

Looking at the World Trade Center proposal it comparison to the Hudson Rail Yards proposal brings up several similarities and differences. First the similarities: both designs serve as models of energy saving technology and also strive to both go to high levels is LEED certification. Both proposals are critical in New York’s economy and its future. They both provide plenty of office space as well as retail space and world class restaurants. The proposals give great importance to open space for the public since there is always a need for more
outdoor spaces in the city. They also provide transit access by subway. Finally both proposals have the power to drastically change the skyline of New York City with brilliantly designed skyscrapers that define our current modern period.

There are also many ways in which these two proposals are different from one another. Partly the fact that the size of the Hudson Rail Yard site is almost twice the size as the World Trade Center site is why there can be more needs met. The biggest difference I see is that the World Trade Center proposal is mostly about retaining several of the same elements of the old towers. This new design is a remembrance to the past. It is a memorial to what use to be. It is also highly defined by safety features and also very symbolic of our country while the Hudson Rail Yards are a gesture to help New York’s economy and need for more space to provide work space and housing.
B. Comparison to previous BRAND development: Rockefeller Center

Rockefeller Center, a "city within a city" which attracts millions of visitors and native New Yorkers every year. The Center is a combination of two building complexes: the older and original 14 Art Deco office buildings from the 1930s, and a set of four International-style towers built along the west side of Avenue of the Americas during the 1960s and 1970s. Construction of the 14 buildings in the Art Deco style began on May 17, 1930 and was completed on November 1, 1939. In the late 1920s, New York’s Metropolitan Opera became interested in the twelve acres of land between 48th and 51st Streets and Fifth and Sixth Avenues in mid-town Manhattan for the construction of its new home, along with several office towers. The project sought to replace the shabby brownstones and speakeasies in the area with modern skyscrapers, creating a new cultural and commercial center in the heart of the city’s fastest growing section.

Rockefeller Center was the first development in the world to include offices, retail stores, restaurants, broadcasting studios, and entertainment venues in one complex. Among the latter, Radio City Music Hall (opened in 1932) stunned the audiences of the time with its breathtaking gold-leafed proscenium arch. Another crowd-pleaser, the skating rink, was built in 1936, after Rockefeller discovered that a system had just been invented that would make artificial ice for one of his family’s favorite pastimes. New technology was widely used throughout the complex, which for the first time featured high-speed elevators, air conditioning, and an elaborate underground concourse and parking lot.
Highline Background and Documentation
Highline Background and Documentation
HIGH LINE FACT SHEET

Total Surface Area: 296,000 square feet

Total Acreage: 6.7 acres

Total Length:
1.45 miles without Post Office spur
1.52 miles with Post Office spur

Columns: approximately 475
Buildings Traveled Through: 2
Buildings Traveled Over: 13
Building Sidings: 9
City Blocks Crossed: 22
Publicly Owned Lots Traversed: 2
Privately Owned Lots Traversed: 31
Total Street Crossings: 25
Maximum Width: 88 feet
Minimum Width: 30 feet
Rail Easement: 20 feet above the track
Load Capacity: 4 fully loaded freight trains
Height: 0 feet to 29 feet above grade
Materials: Steel frame, reinforced concrete deck, gravel ballast, metal handrails
Near the corner of 11th Avenue and 34th Street in Manhattan, a stone’s throw from the side door of the Jacob K. Javits Convention Center, the High Line elevated rail viaduct rises from a cut near the 30th Street Rail Yards and ramps up on brawny steel columns, creating a three-block-long, curved balcony overlooking Hudson River. (Fig 4)

No trains have traveled its tracks since the early 1980s. The elevated rail bed is carpeted by meadow grass and wildflowers. Still, a visitor walking along the High Line can sense the wonder an engineer on the newly-built structure might have felt as his locomotive pushed up from the dark cut, into the light, and ran around the edge of the busy rail yards. The river below would have been crowded with ferries, tugboats, and barges. The just-completed Empire State Building would have loomed up in front of him as his train turned east, at 30th Street. (Fig 5) Then the curving tracks would have gently spun him south, into industrial West Chelsea, steering him between warehouses that accepted deliveries directly from the High Line, and through factories that were specifically constructed to allow his train to run in their interiors. (Fig 6)

The High Line was the first completed stage of the West Side Improvement, a massive urban infrastructure project undertaken by the New York Central Railroad, in partnership with the City of New York. (Fig 7) In later stages, under the stewardship of Robert Moses, the Improvement built platforms over the rail lines north of 72nd Street, expanded Riverside Park on top of them, and constructed the Henry Hudson Parkway. The entire Improvement cost more than $175 million in 1930s dollars.
The High Line's elevation of the rail lines was a potent symbol of modernity. Solving the city's traffic problems—at least theoretically—by stacking different transport forms on different levels and weaving them into the buildings they served was a commonly held vision of the time. Nowhere was there a greater need for a traffic-sorting system than on the West Side. Before the High Line, 10th Avenue was known as "Death Avenue" for the many accidents caused by New York Central trains running at grade. Cars, pedestrians, and horse-drawn carts thronged around the Hudson River passenger terminals, such as the Chelsea Piers, where Cunard and White Star ships docked. Loaded freight cars from rail lines in New Jersey were ferried across the Hudson and rolled off barges via floating bridges onto a network of street-level tracks. From there they were pulled to nearby yards and industrial buildings, such as the Starrett-Lehigh Building, where elevators hauled loaded freight cars up to 19 factory and warehouse floors.

But the premise on which all these structures were based—that the West Side would continue to be a place where trains, ships, and industry came together, proved elusive. The mid-century rise of air travel and trucking brought a decline in ocean and rail traffic. Major infrastructure for ships and trains in Manhattan was abandoned. McKim, Mead & White's Pennsylvania Station was declared an outdated relic and demolished. The southernmost portion of the High Line was torn down. Piers burned or fell into disuse.

After decades of neglect, these discarded transportation systems have returned to prominence on Manhattan's West Side. Their skeletons are being used as the framework for some of the most dramatic redevelopment projects taking place in New York City. On and between the surviving piers of the once-active waterfront, the Hudson River Park is currently laying down ribbons of grass and paved trails for pedestrians and cyclists. The bones of the Chelsea Piers have provided the shell for a large athletics complex of the same name. A high-speed ferry terminal has been proposed for an old New York Central Railroad float bridge at the former 60th Street Rail Yards, at Riverside Park South. Plans have been made to create a new Pennsylvania Station atop the rail lines that fed the hallowed original.

The factory and warehouse buildings that were designed to interact with the railroads have been similarly transformed. The Starrett-Lehigh Building is now occupied by a growing number of art galleries, photo studios, and Internet businesses. (Fig 8) The Spear & Company Warehouse, which had a private loading platform to accept deliveries directly from the High Line, has been converted to luxury lofts. Art museums, galleries, performance spaces, restaurants, and nightclubs vie for turf in the one-story garages and larger warehouse buildings that once housed railroad-related manufacturing and distribution operations. The Nabisco factory building, once served by the High Line, is called the Chelsea Market and is filled with retail/wholesale food companies and media-related businesses. The High Line, unused, still runs through its interior.

The 30th Street Rail Yards, edged by the High Line, has become one of the most hotly discussed redevelopment sites in the city. (Fig 9) Competing elected officials stand behind different proposals: for office towers, a sports stadium, an expansion of the Jacob K. Javits Convention Center, or a mixed-use commercial/residential complex. Any of these would require the construction of a platform over the rail yards, a concept that was part of the West Side Improvement's original plans.
Sitting at the nexus of so many redevelopment initiatives with transportation infrastructure at their hearts, many of which have a historical link—and in some cases a physical link—to the High Line, this elevated viaduct is primed for reuse.

In 1999, a not-for-profit group of neighborhood residents, business-owners, design professionals, and civic groups joined to form Friends of the High Line (FHL). Their mission is to bring the out-of-use viaduct into the federally sanctioned rail-banking program, which would open the rail deck to the public for use as a walkway. Over 11,000 rail-trails have already been created nationwide, the result of legislation designed to protect transportation corridors that could never be recreated in today’s economy and which one day may be needed again. Preserving the High Line as an elevated walkway does not preclude continued development in the area. The viaduct was designed to encourage new buildings to connect to or encompass the structure, which would still be the case if pedestrians replaced trains as users of the transportation corridor. FHL asserts that the structure is fit for reuse; engineers have examined it and found it to be structurally sound, though in need of paint, concrete repairs, and maintenance to its drainage system.

A group of private landholders, Chelsea Property Owners (CPO) wants the High Line torn down and has been working since the mid-1980s to achieve that goal. CPO members own land directly beneath the High Line. Most owners purchased their land at prices that reflected the existence of the High Line’s easement, and they expect the value of their land to increase if the structure is removed. They also assert that the High Line is a blight and that its poor maintenance creates hazardous conditions. CPO does concede that if the High Line were converted to a public promenade, nearby property values would rise. However, the group continues to pursue demolition as the most expedient method of accomplishing its goals.

CSX, the railroad company that owns the High Line, currently takes a neutral position. It is bound by a 1992 ruling from the Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) ordering Conrail, which then owned the High Line, to abandon and allow demolition of the line only if CPO meets extensive financial and legal conditions. In the decade since that ruling, CPO has not been able to meet the conditions. CSX is required to continue negotiating with CPO towards a possible demolition agreement, but at the same time CSX remains open to viable rail-banking proposals from government agencies and not-for-profit groups, such as Friends of the High Line.

In late 2000, Friends of the High Line submitted a proposal for a comprehensive planning study to the Design Trust for Public Space, an independent not-for-profit organization that works in partnership with public agencies and community groups on projects to improve the design of New York City’s public space. The Design Trust accepted the proposal based on the High Line’s extraordinary value to the neighborhood as potential public open space and its universal significance as a precedent for the rehabilitation of disused infrastructure. Fellowships were awarded to two architects to conduct separate, innovative investigations into the High Line’s rich possibilities.

Casey Jones undertook an eight-month-long study of the High Line’s history and physical conditions, local zoning, current land use, and community needs. Informed by this research, Jones led a series of advisory sessions with
community members, development experts, and
design professionals to evaluate the feasibility of
reuse alternatives, including transportation,
commercial, arts-oriented, and open space
reuse scenarios, as well as demolition. In June
2001, the Design Trust hosted a forum on the
High Line—part of its Public Space Maker event
series. A panel of experts in the fields of politics,
finance, and the physical design of public sector
infrastructure addressed the viaduct’s unique
reuse potential and challenges. Working with the
results of the Public Space Makers forum, the
advisory sessions, and the extensive data Jones
assembled in his months of research, the Design
Trust and Friends of the High Line jointly
developed recommendations for the reuse of the
High Line. These recommendations, as well as
Jones’s data, are included in the pages that follow.

The second fellowship was awarded to Keller
Easterling, who created a web site comprising
four speculative environments for the High
Line. Because Easterling’s project is not limited
to attainable possibilities, its purely conjectural
environments provide a counterpoint to
Jones’s fact-based study. The user can experience
the High Line from the perspective of a
developer, an animal, a tourist, and a party-
goer. Easterling’s work can be accessed at

The tragic events of September 11, 2001
occurred just as the Design Trust and Friends
of the High Line were formulating the
recommendations outlined in this report. It is
still too early to know what direction New York
City’s rebuilding efforts will take. But it is clear
that all new construction in Manhattan, of
private buildings and public spaces alike, has a
vital role to play in our city’s recovery. Any
brick put down or any tree planted must
recharge the urban economy; it must attract
new businesses, residents, and visitors by
creating appealing, healthful, safe work and
home environments; it must spark financial
activity, raise property values, and generate
tax revenues.

Preserving open land and creating new public
spaces boosts property values and generates
higher property tax revenues. Manhattan is built
around the proof of this principle: Central Park.
In the mid-1800s, the City’s expenditure for land
in Central Park was quickly compensated by
the taxes generated by the increased value
of adjacent property. Urban bikeways and
walkways function in a similar manner; in
Seattle, homes bordering the 12-mile Burke
Gilman trail sell for 6 percent more than houses
of comparable size in other locations.

The High Line was built to be a working struc-
ture that boosted New York City’s economy,
feeding it with raw materials and carrying
away the finished goods it sold. Reusing this
transportation corridor as public space for
pedestrian use can—and must—nourish our
city in a similar manner. Buildings should be
constructed next to and around the High Line to
take advantage of the unique benefits it offers.
This was the plan when the High Line was first
constructed, and the possibilities it offers are
greater than ever today.
USES AND PROGRAMMING

Below the High Line

Many spaces under the High Line are privately owned. Bold designs and feasible economic plans must be presented to underlying landowners to encourage them to promote uses and programming under the High Line that serve community needs and create a welcoming environment. These should include current manufacturing uses, green markets, arts programming, and commercial/retail opportunities. (Fig 18, 19)

Of the spaces under public control, most are street crossings. These must be made to function as safe, aesthetically pleasing environments for daily pedestrian and vehicular passage. Maintenance, lighting, and netting to discourage birds are all required.

Other public spaces under the High Line include the Gansevoort Market Meat Center building and the 30th Street Rail Yards. Uses and programming at these sites should serve the surrounding community and the objectives of the governmental agencies that control the sites. Public access to the High Line’s upper deck should be maximized.

Many light manufacturing uses and commercial uses are currently located under and around the High Line. These include auto repair facilities, auto body shops, and storage/warehouse uses. The transportation and industrial history of this neighborhood dictates that we respect these uses and promote their continued presence in spaces beneath the High Line.

On Top of the High Line

Pedestrian use is the best possible transportation option for the upper deck of the High Line. Other options, such as subway, light rail, and bicycle, have been studied, but each presents
Small Retail Features

The High Line’s predominant identity must be as an open public space that serves the public’s transportation, recreational, and contemplative needs. It must not become a mall. But limited commercial uses—adjacent to the High Line’s walkway and in spaces below the structure—are both necessary and desirable. They will stimulate use, create variety and excitement, help create a secure environment with storefronts providing “eyes and ears” on the walkway, and generate revenue for maintenance of the public space. (Fig 26, 27)

New York City Models:

• Chelsea Market: Businesses that manufacture, distribute, and sell foods—both wholesale and retail—flank a linear corridor in a former Nabisco factory that was once served by the High Line. The continuation of the neighborhood’s manufacturing/ food processing history; the development of independent retail outlets that are needed by the community; the attractiveness as a visitor destination; and the celebration of the neighborhood’s industrial architecture are all desirable in any commercial facilities designed to complement the High Line’s public open space.

• 59th Street Bridgemarket: Retail and restaurant uses occupy renovated spaces beneath the Queensboro Bridge, complemented by a new public plaza. A collaboration among a private developer, the New York City Economic Development Corporation, the New York City Department of Transportation, and private historic preservation groups resulted in this combination of active transportation uses, public space, and commercial facilities.

• Greenmarkets: There are 13 greenmarkets in Manhattan’s parks, playgrounds, parking lots, closed streets, and other available open spaces. The largest is at Union Square, where up to 70 regional farmers sell foods they grow or raise themselves. The program is run by the Council on the Environment of New York City, a privately funded citizens organization in the Office of the Mayor.

• Rockefeller Center: Retail storefronts face a linear public space—the Channel Gardens—resulting in one of New York City’s most visited sites.

• Chelsea Carwash/Gas Station: The High Line itself provides the structure for a commercial use at 14th Street and 10th Avenue that meets a basic need of residents, workers, and visitors.

International Models:

• Promenade Plantée/Viaduc Des Arts, Paris: When the Paris government converted an elevated rail viaduct to a public park, it leased the underlying spaces to artists, artisans, and craftspeople who make and sell their work there.

• The Seine, Paris: Alongside a riverside walkway, book vendors create culturally important retail opportunities with minimal footprint and investment.

• Ponte Vecchio, Florence, and Rialto Bridge, Venice: These bridges are developed with small shops to transform transportation infrastructure into social centers.

• Galeries St. Hubert, Brussels; Galleria Vittorio Emanuele II, Milan; Galleria Umberto I, Naples: These 19th-century, urban glass-covered arcades provide public, inter-block pedestrian corridors protected from the weather and flanked by retail spaces.
CURRENT USE
The last train rode the High Line’s tracks in 1980. Since that time it has sat unused. Overgrown with plant life, the upper deck now resembles an elevated green carpet, weaving between buildings as it makes its way from the 30th Street Rail Yards to the Gansevoort Meat Packing District.

The underlying property on which the structure stands is held separately by a number of owners, including New York State, New York City, and more than 20 private landholders. Many of the underlying properties are occupied by active industrial uses. Eleven of the twenty two
blocks over which the High Line runs are dedicated to automotive uses—nine of those are parking lots. Other adjoining property uses include the Long Island Rail Road Yards, a metal scrap yard, a beer distributorship, a specialty market place, and a collection of wholesale meat markets. Only one adjacent site is unoccupied. (Fig 52)

**MAINTENANCE/STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY**

CSX, the railroad company that owns the High Line, regularly dispatches engineers to inspect the structure. Their 1999 engineering study of the High Line, by the firm of Hardesty & Hanover LLP, found it to be fundamentally sound, with the line’s main structure—the columns and beams supporting the rail platform—in good condition. Originally designed to carry the weight of four fully loaded freight trains, the High Line is still capable of withstanding a tremendous load. It was last painted in 1968 and its steel appears rusty; nonetheless it remains structurally sound.

In 1999, when CSX first assumed ownership of the line, there were 63 violations cited by the New York City Department of Buildings, all of which CSX has corrected in the last two years. There are currently no outstanding violations.

Chelsea Property Owners (CPO) has criticized CSX, asserting that the railroad does not sufficiently maintain the structure. CPO contends that water damage has weakened the structure, patches of concrete fall from the underside of the decking, metal plates have rusted, and rivets have loosened, creating hazards.

In the past the concrete rail bed has experienced limited spalling, a condition that occurs when moisture penetrates concrete. Over time, with repeated freezing and thawing, cracks form and widen until isolated pieces separate and fall. This is a common problem on bridges and elevated highways, which freeze more quickly in winter. Any reuse plans for the High Line will require proper maintenance to prevent all future spalling.

The High Line was originally equipped with proper drainage but much of the piping is now missing or corroded. During heavy rains, water pours out of the drainage holes on the underside of the rail bed in some locations. Repairing the drainage system will be a requirement of any plan to reuse the line.

In some sections of the High Line, pigeons roost between the beams that hold up the rail bed. The unsanitary condition they create is a nuisance, especially at street crossings, where pedestrians must cross beneath the structure. Correcting the problem will not be difficult or expensive. At some locations on the line, wire mesh has been attached to the underside of the structure to address this concern. This mesh must be maintained over time to ensure pigeons do not take hold in the future, and similar corrective treatments should be employed all along the line.

The Chelsea Carwash, a gas station and car wash directly under the line at 14th Street and 10th Avenue, has repaired and maintained the underside of the High Line in an exemplary manner. The station uses the structure of the High Line as its canopy. As part of the 1996 renovation, which was permitted by the railroad, the owners repaired the concrete platform and painted the steel structure. They reattached the missing piping to the drainage systems and stabilized the concrete spall by waterproofing on top of the concrete platform. Five years later, the structure is in good condition with only minor maintenance needed.
COMMERCIAL REUSE (Fig 67)
The High Line was originally designed as an economic engine for the city, feeding businesses in the factories and warehouses through which it passed. Still attached to numerous buildings containing commercial operations, and able to be connected to many more, the structure has potential as a commercial corridor. But how much commercial activity, and what type, would be most beneficial?

Reuse as a single commercial operation, such as a unified retail environment, is not practical or desirable. The structure’s elevation and linearity poses a challenge to stocking and merchandising procedures. In addition, if rail-trail mechanisms are used to acquire the line, regulations will restrict commercial operations in the transportation corridor itself. The community has repeatedly expressed opposition to large-scale retail operations, preferring independent stores with smaller footprints.

Conceiving of the High Line as a linear mall, with a publicly accessible transportation corridor at the center, flanked by a series of retail uses along its length, might appeal to economic development interests and provide a revenue stream to support the public space, but it would compromise many of the line’s most appealing features: its contemplative quality, its ability to convey its history of transportation use, and its sense of a place apart from the city as we commonly experience it. It would be unappealing to the community, which values open-space that is not over-commercialized.

Limited commercial activity, however, can generate revenues for maintenance of the open space and provide security, acting as eyes and ears on the line. In addition, the presence of well-placed, well-chosen commercial operations can increase usage, which also serves to increase security. For that reason, commercial reuse as a limited component of the overall reuse plan—but not its primary focus—is recommended by this study.

OPEN SPACE REUSE (Fig 68)
Reusing the High Line structure as a public open space conveys a great pool of benefits to a large number of people.

There is need for open space in the neighborhoods surrounding the High Line. Of 59 Community Boards in New York City, Board 4, which contains most of the High Line, is currently ranked fourth from the bottom in terms of open space. It has less than one-fifth of an acre of open space per thousand residents, compared with a citywide average of 2.5 acres per thousand. The completion of the Hudson River Park will add open space to the area, but even the temporary walkway/bikeway along the Hudson River’s edge is already one of the most heavily trafficked open spaces in the city. The Hudson River Park does not negate the need for more open space in the area but illustrates the demand for it.

Reuse as open space will convey economic value to properties adjoining the structure, as it has consistently done in New York since the establishment of Central Park. This will increase the tax revenues collected and serve to entice new businesses and residents to the area.

Open space is regularly one of the subjects of negotiation between community and economic development interests. When the Department
of City Planning presented potential rezoning scenarios to Community Board 4's Preservation and Planning Committee, the calls for additional open space were consistent and strong.

Open space reuse is consistent with rail banking, the most viable and most cost-effective plan for acquiring the easement.

Open space reuse opens up the possibility of numerous related initiatives that could enhance the far West Side as it grows in upcoming decades. It would complement any of the redevelopment proposals at the 30th Street Rail Yards. It would create the opportunity to organize growth on the far West Side around public open space and sustainable transportation. It would encourage arts-related uses, reinforcing the neighborhood's reputation as a cultural hub.

Open space reuse of the High Line offers a clear, aesthetic benefit to the community and the city. This is illustrated by the High Line's current condition, a park-like setting created spontaneously by nature.

**MOVING FORWARD**

This study represents the first step of many towards the High Line's reuse as a public open space and pedestrian transportation corridor. Its recommendations will form the base of a design competition, to be sponsored by Friends of the High Line in 2002.

The design process should be an evolutionary one, as it was for the High Line's original construction. The first call to raise the New York Central Railroad's tracks off New York City streets came more than a half-century before the High Line was built, and plans for the structure changed many times during the decades of public discussion that led to its construction.
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View of the Sherwin-Williams Building from Eleventh Avenue at West 32nd Street, Facing South

Visual Resource
Farley Corridor (Subdistrict B): West Yard Distribution building.
View from Eleventh Avenue & West 33rd Street, facing East

Tenth Avenue Corridor (Subdistrict D): View of Hill Building on Tenth Avenue, facing Northwest
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Figure 11: Conceptual Development Framework
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Figure 19: Proposed Sidewalk Improvement Measures, Multi-Use Facility Alternative
Existing Land Use – Secondary Study Area
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Section 2: Development Framework
Improvement of Public Transportation and Access (cont.)

Farley Corridor Connection to Hudson Yards

Will help integrate Hudson Yards with local and regional transportation hubs along a 32nd St. Pedestrian Corridor, connecting Penn Station to Hudson Yards.

Will use zoning incentives to create the corridor.

Development of MTA’s Eastern Rail Yard

Construction of a platform over Eastern Rail Yard (ERY) between 10th and 11th Aves. and 30th and 33rd Sts. will accommodate up to approximately 5 million SF of on-site commercial space, 1.7 million SF of on-site residential space and a major cultural center.

Additional components of development include:

- New public squares (similar in size to Bryant Park)
- New pedestrian connection, linking site with Farley Corridor
- Retail development along public areas to enhance pedestrian experience
- Connection to renovated High Line, for access to the Chelsea gallery district
- 450-space below-grade public garage

RFP for engineering services for platform to be issued Summer 2005. RFP for Master Developer will be issued in 2006.
**Eastern Rail Yard**

Tenth to Eleventh avenues between West 30th to 33rd Streets

Block/Lot: 702/1.50 & 704/1.5,6
Zoning: C6-4
Total Lot Area (SF): 570,000
Max FAR (on-site): 11.0
(consisting of a max of 9.0 Comm., 3.0 Res., and/or 2.0 Com. Facility)
Max. ZFA (SF): 6,270,000

**Bonus FAR:** NA
**ERY Transfer FAR:** NA

Ownership: Lot is publicly owned, will be developed pursuant to RFP
Assemblage required: No
Design Controls: sidewalk widening, ground floor retail and transparency, street trees, required street wall, mandated public open space and plaza,
Parking Requirement: approximately 450 spaces
Height Limits: None

Site Attributes: Convenient access to future mass transit, Javits Convention Center, and NYSCC; accommodates large floor plate commercial uses; large regional open space; publicly funded platform

Site Challenges: MTA-LIRR railroad storage yards, maintenance facility, and substation will be below this site

**Large-Scale Plan**

Eastern Railyards:

**FAR:**
- **MAX:** 19
- 9 - 11 FAR on site
- 8 - 10 FAR distributed within Large-Scale Plan

**USE (on site):**
- commercial: 9 FAR
- residential: 3 FAR
- community facility: 2 FAR
Transportation Facilities

Existing
- Penn Station
- PABT
- Lincoln Tunnel
- 7 Subway
- A/C/E Subway
- 1/2/3 Subway
- Quill Bus Depot
- JJKCC Truck Marshalling Yard
- Ferry Terminal

Future
- 7 Subway Extension
- Moynihan Station
- JJKCC Truck Marshalling Yard
- THE Tunnel (ARC)

Parks & Open Space

- Hudson River Park
- Pier 76
- Hudson Park and Boulevard
- High Line Park
- JJKCC Green Space
- 33/34 site
- Western Rail Yard
- ERY
Hudson Yards Development Corporation
Section 4: Structural Issues
Structural Issues

- Rail Yard must maintain 24/7 operations, including track outages for construction
- Track spacing results in 50' typical north-south spans
- Average "ceiling" clearances for Rail Yard below platform to be as high and as close to 22' as possible
- Platform and columns act like a table on "legs"
- Bedrock 100'+ deep plus at western end of site
- There is no "generic" platform
- The structural needs of the platform, new buildings, open spaces and roads all need to be integrated

Column Location Diagram
Illustrative Platform Section

CONCEPTUAL PLATFORM AT TOWERS LOOKING WEST (PERPENDICULAR TO TRACKS)

Illustrative Platform Perspective
Illustrative Platform Section

Illustrative Platform Section
Surrounding Site Context: Source AIA Guide to New York City
MADISON SQUARE GARDEN TO THE JAVITS CENTER

In 1964 the Pennsylvania Railroad opened its tunnel under the Hudson and cut a broad swath to its monumental 2-block-square station (opened 1910), erasing some of the Hell’s Kitchen tenements. (In the 1990s Lincoln Tunnel approaches cut down more.) The new station quickly attracted the equally monumental General Post Office, some major hotels, and a cluster of middle-class department stores, which found the precinct an ideally convenient goal for their march up Sixth Avenue from 14th Street. By the 1920s garment manufacturing had moved from the Lower East Side into the streets surrounding these pivot points. Today’s garment industry is concentrated in the West 30s and 40s between Sixth and Eighth Avenues, with suppliers of fabrics, trimmings, and such located to the east as far as Madison Avenue.

In the 1980s the precinct was reactivated, with, most prominently, the Javits Center as its economic if not spiritual leader.


Like its neighbor to the south, the former Equitable Building—not to be confused with its downtown namesake—this Classical block is set back 15 feet from the building line in response to the old Pennsylvania Station colonnade that faced it. It was a center for the big bands of the 1920s, and Glenn Miller wrote a tune called “Pennsylvania 6-3000,” still the hotel’s phone number, now converted to all digits.


Anybody who remembers the vast Roman Revival waiting room and even vaster iron-and-glass train shed of Charles McKim’s (McKim, Mead & White) 1910 Penn Station will feel bereaved here.

The replacement entertainment and office complex covering two blocks includes a 20,000-seat “garden,” a 1,000-seat “forum,” a 500-seat cinema, a 48-lane bowling center, a 29-story office building, an exposition “rotunda,” an art gallery, and the usual dining, drinking, and shopping areas—all above the railroad station, which was underground to begin with but had a ceiling 150 feet high. The present “garden,” the third one and closer to Madison Square than the second, is housed in a Precast concrete-clad cylinder and roofed by a 425-foot-diameter cable structure that only physically replaces its magnificent noble predecessor.

At one time it was to be demolished and moved two blocks west to make room for a high-rise office tower in the form of a fish by Los Angeles architect Frank Gehry. Too bad the plan fell through.
The Underground Pennsylvania Station: It was still called Penn Station, up till its reincarnation in the old General Post Office building across Eighth Avenue. From 1903 until 2001 it occupied little more than a rabbit Warren under the 2-square-block Penn Plaza office building and Gardens.

On August 2, 1992 a band of architects picketed—alas, unsuccessfully—against the destruction of the McKim, Mead & White’s grand Roman com Modern glass-and-steel train shed Penn Station. Organized by AGRANY, the Action Group for Better Architecture in New York, a group of young New York architects including Norval White, Jim Barnes, Justin Grazen, Norman Jaffee, Diane Kirsch, Jon Rowan, Peter Samton, and Elliot Wileensky, among others, picketed with posters prepared by students at all of the city’s architectural schools. Among the architectural notables it attracted for picketing and television interviews were Philip Johnson, Peter Blake, Almea Suurinen, John Johansen, and board members of the Museum of Modern Art.


An ethereal cage of metal and glass signals the entrance to Long Island transit. Elegantly.


That slim, mysterious office tower with a rich Art Deco bas-relief at its crown, innocuous close up, is properly savored from afar.


An Art Deco Navy blimp hangar for the hot air of modern merchandising? Glass fiber-reinforced concrete simulates this imagery.

Surrounding Site Context: Source AIA Guide to New York City

Madison Square Garden to Javits Center: see map p. 236

The 2-block row of twenty 53-foot Corinthian columns, and what is probably the world’s longest inscription, once faced the equally long, somewhat stubbier row of Penn Station’s Doric columns.

The near future will reincarnate Pennsylvania Station within these McKim, Mead & White folds (but entered on the side streets), a block west from where Charles McKim originally planted it, and within yet another embrace of Roman splendor. The embrace will be punctuated by soaring high-tech glass, rendering the Post Office’s body from 331 to 33rd Streets, and providing a traveler’s place of arrival again worthy of this city.


An Art Deco relic, and a popular economy-priced hotel. In its heyday it boasted 92 “telephone girls” at the 42nd-floor switchboards, and a 42-chair barber shop with 20 manicurists. In 1976 it became a property of Rev. Sun Myung Moon’s World Unification Church.


A traditional gathering place for union-contract debate and votes. And what about the incised lettering: Ancient Accepted Scottish Rite. Masons? When were they here?

Sound films were still experimented when Warner Brothers, collaborating with Bell Laboratories, exhibited them at the Manhattan Center in 1926, when it was still known as the Manhattan Opera House. Warner created elsewhere, but here was a true exhibition that preceded by several years Radio City, the Roxy, and other mass places of entertainment.


A freestanding temple to incarceration: its dark brown brick adds to the gloom of this loft-shadowed side street.

For the Hungry:


The architecture of food: pendant, stacked, glazed, bottled, canned—a symphony of color, texture, patina, and aroma. If you pass through, you will reach the Old World (self-service) restaurant. A special place.

Paddy’s Market: A stretch of Ninth Avenue, between 36th and 42nd Streets, was for almost 50 years full of pushcart food vendors, banished by Mayor La Guardia in the late 1930s. The market soon revived however, as indoor shops with big outdoor displays featuring fresh fruit and vegetables, Italian, Greek, Polish, Spanish, and Philippine products.


Romanesque Revival limestone church, the wall in rock-face ashlar, the arches and details smoothly contrasting.


A diminutive office block occupying a curious sliver of land left over to one side of the Lincoln Tunnel approaches.
Surrounding Site Context: Source AIA Guide to New York City

[Image 173x97 to 448x718]


Aspiring to be the Crystal Palace of our generation, this shiny black multifaceted set of forms conjures thoughts of geodes, those geological broken remnants that are wondrous but opaque. The ball-jointed space frames within are impressive, a complex world of filigrees against the glass-shielded sky. The first pavilion of this ilk was that of the great London exhibition of 1851, gardener Joseph Paxton's fantastically inflated greenhouse, which housed Prince Albert's attempts to display Britain's industrial revolution to the world. Here the events are more mundane: those of conventioners both professional and commercial, presenting ideas or products. Paradoxically, the conventions proper are within sealed boxes (artificial controlled light for displays), and only the circulation and access occurs within the transparent shell.

At night, glowing lights give a sense of the Center's sometime transparency; by day the building might just as well be a set of opaque obsidian prisons.


A gussied concrete structure that spans the Penn-Central tracks below. Its penthouse once sheltered ice skating, Sky Rink, since removed to sea level at the Chelsea Piers.


[13] Lost in the Fur District is this exquisite single-spired brownstone church, a Roman Catholic midtown Trinity. The interior, of white marble, radiates light. Worth a special visit. The friary is a banal new work on the opposite block front.


A mildly ornate eclectic "row house" for the Insurance Company funded patrols that attend major fires, protecting the goods that might potentially be damaged by smoke and, particularly, water.
VII. Precedent Analysis

A. Developments
   1. Rockefeller Center, New York City, USA
   2. World Trade Center Redesign, New York City, USA
   3. Roppongi Hills, Tokyo, Japan
   4. Gare Montparnasse, Paris, France

B. Parks
   1. Bryant Park
   2. Union Square
Rockefeller Center, New York City
Rockefeller Center is a complex of 19 commercial buildings covering 22 acres between 48th and 51st streets in New York City.

Built by the Rockefeller family, it is located in the center of Midtown Manhattan, spanning between 5th Avenue and 7th Avenue.

It was declared a National Historic Landmark in 1987.

It is the largest privately held complex of its kind in the world, and an international **BRAND**.
List of Buildings at Rockefeller Center

- One Rockefeller Plaza (608,000 sq ft)
- 10 Rockefeller Plaza (288,000 sq ft) The Today Show studios
- 15 Rockefeller Plaza: Nintendo World Store
- 30 Rockefeller Plaza (30 Rock): GE Building (2.9 million square ft)
- 50 Rockefeller Plaza: Bank of America Building (481,000 sq ft)
- 1230 Avenue of the Americas: Simon & Schuster Building (706,000 sq ft)
- 1260 Avenue of the Americas: Radio City Music Hall
- 1270 Avenue of the Americas (528,000 sq ft)
- 600 Fifth Avenue (409,000 sq ft)
- 610 Fifth Avenue: La Maison Francaise (130,000 sq ft)
- 620 Fifth Avenue: British Empire Building (130,600 sq ft)
- 626 Fifth Avenue: Palazzo d'Italia (120,000 sq ft)
- 830 Fifth Avenue: International Building (1.2 million square ft)
- 636 Fifth Avenue: International Building North (120,000 sq ft)
- 1271 Avenue of the Americas (Time-Life Building)
- 1251 Avenue of the Americas (Originally the Standard Oil [NJ] Building.
- 1221 Avenue of the Americas (McGraw-Hill Building)
- 1211 Avenue of the Americas
- 745 Seventh Avenue (Barclays Capital)
Observation Deck at Rockefeller Center
Roppongi Hills, Tokyo, Japan

Tokyo's urban condition of narrow streets, sprawling low-rise buildings and few parks did not offer its citizens a high quality of life. What's more, the horizontally oriented cityscape did not stack up against other international cities. Renowned developer Minoru Mori envisioned a mid-town district that would afford citizens a better lifestyle and become a cultural center attracting people from all over the world. That vision is Roppongi Hills, Japan's largest private real estate development. Roppongi Hills integrates a full range of uses, offices, a world-class museum, residential towers, a luxury hotel, cinema, retail, a subway station and restaurants into a walkable community. Jerde made it a community people would want to walk by knitting the high-volume buildings with wide pedestrian pathways and generous open spaces - a rare sight in the crowded city. Additionally, Jerde incorporated natural materials and landscaping into the pedestrian-level uses. Since opening in April, Roppongi Hills has been embraced by the people of Tokyo as a new symbol of culture and urbanity. It has also established an important green district with ground-level parks and rooftop gardens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Area</th>
<th>28.4 acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Building Area</td>
<td>724,000 sq meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>380,000 sq meters Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>148,000 sq meters Residential (840 units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53,000 sq meters Hotel (390 rooms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30,000 sq meters Retail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150,000 sq meters Restaurants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6,300 sq meters Cultural</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Maps, Diagrams
Sectional Diagram and Renderings
Gare Montparnasse, Paris, France

The Gare Montparnasse is one of the six large terminus train stations of Paris, located in the Montparnasse area, in the XVe arrondissement.

The station is used for the intercity TGV trains to destinations in the west and south-west of France including Tours, Bordeaux, Rennes and Nantes. Additionally, it is served by several suburban and regional services on the Transilien Paris – Montparnasse routes. There is also a metro station, and a high-speed moving sidewalk.
World Trade Center Redesign, NYC
Comparison to other Green Spaces in Manhattan
IX. Design Process – Master Plan Conceptual Configurations

Scheme 1: 12 equal towers with only 1 large connection parallel to Highline

Scheme 2: 12 stepping towers with small connection bridges

Scheme 3: 7 stepping towers with large connection bridges
Configurations on transitioning onto Highline

Scheme 1: Mall atrium to transition to Highline

Scheme 2: Concrete Pavers to transition from grade to platform to Highline
X. Final Presentation Drawings

Zoning around Highline
3D Zoning Diagram

Diagram Showing Future Projects near Highline
Aerial View showing extent of Highline

Aerial View showing Midtown Manhattan
Aerial View showing projected development
Aerial Views showing site context and overall layout
Aerial View

Site Plan
Section Diagram

30th Street Projected Elevation

31st Street Projected Elevation
Façade Elevation Study

Structural Axonometric
Circulation Diagram

Structural Axonometric
Typical Floor Layouts

- **TYPE "A" - ONE BEDROOM**
- **TYPE "B" - ONE BEDROOM**
- **TYPE "C" - TWO BEDROOMS**

Structure Diagram
Interior Perspective of Living Room

Interior of Office Space
Pedestrian Views
Views showing pedestrian circulation onto platform and Highline
Perspective showing retail below Highline

Perspective of pedestrian circulation onto platform and Highline
XI. Conclusion

Looking back, I have accomplished many things throughout the totality of the project. The biggest challenge was using the Highline in a way that was architectural rather than exploitive. As well as transitioning up to the Highline and what the elements and features one should experience. There were many different usage types such as residential, pedestrian on street access, elevated pedestrian plaza with access to Highline, commercial spaces, office spaces, and services. All while structuring a complex program that services many of the previously mentioned users needs. Other challenges included but are not limited to the project size and scope of work.
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